À	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	9/543,207	MARUYAMA ET AL.
	xaminer	Art Unit
	dnan M Mirza	2141
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (O herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGING the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 are	R REMAINS) CLOSED in other appropriate commuter. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>06/28/04</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-33</u> .		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>25 July 2000</u> are accepted by the Exam	niner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be completed as a copies of the priority documents have be copies of the pr	een received. een received in Application nents have been received this communication to file of this application. ed. Note the attached EXA reason(s) why the oath or se submitted. 's Patent Drawing Review amendment / Comment or the ader according to 37 CF of BIOLOGICAL MATE	an No In No In No In this national stage application from the din this national stage application from the stage application from the stage application from the requirements. AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF redeclaration is deficient. In (PTO-948) attached In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./ 7. ☐ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), 'Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance LE HEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207

Art Unit: 2141

Allowance

- 1. Claims 1-33 will be allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

The prior art references most closely resembling the applicants claimed invention are Vaid et al (U.S. 6,502,131) and Colby (U.S. 6,006,264).

First Vaid disclosed traffic management tool performs inbound and outbound monitoring and control flows by application, source address, destination address, URL, time of day, day of week, day of month and other variations. In a specific embodiment, tool, also monitors, controls and produces reports and alarms, which can enhance a whole spectrum of traffic monitoring and control activities ranging from bandwidth/latency control to capacity planning (col. 10, lines 17-26). Vaid fails to disclose by computing a target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (I,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin, Bmax) and then admitting a portion of the inbound traffic at an admitted rate (Ra) while rejecting at a rejected rate (Rr) a remaining portion of the inbound traffic that if processed would cause the outbound traffic for customer traffic type (i,j) to exceed the target rate (Rt). These limitations are incorporated into all of the independent claims (claims 1,16,25).

Second Colby disclosed when a client send s a content request to content request is intercepted by the content-aware flow switch 110, which intercepts the request as a request to initiate a flow

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207

Art Unit: 2141

between the client and an appropriate server. The CSD is queried for list of available server to serve the content request. The CSD returns a list of candidate servers and the status indicator

ACCEPT if the preferred server is known to be in local server farm (col. 8, lines 35-43). However Colby fails to disclose by computing a target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (I,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin, Bmax) and then admitting a portion of the inbound traffic at an admitted rate (Ra) while rejecting at a rejected rate (Rr) a remaining portion of the inbound traffic that if processed would cause the outbound traffic for customer traffic type (i,j) to exceed the target rate (Rt). These limitations are

In summary, the Examiner submits that there is no motivation to combine the aforementioned references; therefore, claims 1-33 have been deemed allowable over the prior art.

incorporated into all of the independent claims (claims 1,16,25).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

CERTEN LUU PAIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3